

EOAC Future EO Mission/Instrument Opportunity Questionnaire

The questionnaire is divided into three elements:

- Part 1 A questionnaire capturing general characteristics of the proposed mission/instrument.
- Part 2 Responses against specific down-selection criteria
- Part 3 Ability to provide further Information at short notice

The present selection process will be used to qualify and short-list viable mission concepts. Further selection, leading to mission implementation, would be the subject of a later process for which additional information would be requested. No specific mission cost ceiling is indicated at this time. Down-selected proposals may be partly or fully funded, but must give value for money. A credible path to implementation within a 3 year timescale is preferred.

Written submissions for Parts 1 and 2: The EO Mission Capability Review panel (EOMCR panel) will review ONLY content within the specified page limit using the questionnaire pro-forma, font size Arial 10. **Any content outside this limit will be discarded.**

Part 1 – Mission Characteristics

Part 1 requests basic information about a potential future EO mission/instrument opportunity. Please give succinct answers, using the table in the pro-forma. The EOMCR panel will use this information to understand your proposed mission concept.

Your overall response must be limited to 2 sides of A4 for Qs 1-13 and 1 page for Q14, font size Arial 10.

		Information Sought
Submission Details		
Q1	Project Name	Name to be used in referring to the proposed mission / instrument.
Q2	Lead UK Organisation	Name of proposing organisation. Note that it is not necessary to identify a mission delivery consortium at the current stage.
Q3	UK Points of Contact	Name, email and phone no. of project leaders and Principal Investigators
Mission Overview		
Q4	Mission Concept	Briefly outline the mission concept, including orbit, constellation configuration (if applicable), ground segment elements and data collection concept (E.g. daily tasking vs long-term observation plan)
Q5	Objective, Main Products and Beneficiaries	Outline the principal objective of the mission (e.g. technology demonstration; new scientific measurements; enabler for consumer applications; etc) and the main data products. Please identify the principal beneficiaries of the mission.
Q6	Instrument Concept	Outline the nature of the instrument(s) that will generate the principal mission products, including any dependence on data from other instruments or sources.
Q7	Calibration / Validation Concept	Describe any new infrastructure, development, collaboration or research needed for product calibration. Also describe any particularly challenging aspects of performance and how they will be validated.
Q8	Instrument Hosting	Describe the intended payload hosting arrangement. E.g. dedicated mission; hosted mission on 3 rd party platform; etc. If hosted / bilateral, provide evidence that the partner organization has an identified, currently progressing programme with a partnership opportunity.
Q9	Mission Requirements	Describe any challenging requirements to achieve mission objectives (e.g. high pointing accuracy / stability / power generation / heat dissipation / etc).

Mission Readiness			
Q10	Product Scientific Maturity	Describe the maturity of the main data products and the steps and timescales required to reach operational status. Where applicable, please indicate the current Science Readiness Level (SRL) - refer to ESA SRL Handbook ¹ .	
Q11	Mission Technology Maturity	Identify the critical technologies and their TRL ² . Describe the steps and timescales required to reach flight-ready status. Please indicate if any element is currently at TRL 4 or lower	
Q12	UK Footprint	Indicate any aspects of the mission that would require capabilities not available in the UK, and/or any extant or potential international partnerships (including co-funding opportunities)	
Q13	Mission Readiness	Assess the overall maturity of the mission concept using the scale in the table below (MRL1 to MRL9) and briefly justify the assessment	
		MRL 1 Early mission concept proposed	MRL 6 Mission design at PDR level (Completion of system design)
		MRL 2 Initial mission/system analysis carried out	MRL 7 Mission design at CDR level (completion of detailed design)
		MRL 3 First mission study completed, including mission ROM cost estimate	MRL 8 Mission implementation in progress
		MRL 4 Mission Phase 0/feasibility study completed, with improved cost estimate	MRL 9 Mission in orbit
		MRL 5 Mission phase A study (or equivalent) completed	
Mission Funding			
Q14	Assessment of Overall Mission Cost and Complexity	<p>Please mark the appropriate boxes for each category shown in Q14 of the pro-forma, and provide additional information to explain your selection.</p> <p>If you are able to provide any further information at this stage on the mission cost, you should do so within the box for Q14. (one page maximum for Q14 including the table)</p>	

¹

http://explorercall.esa.int/images/callee9documents/Science_Readiness_Levels_SRL_Handbook_v1.1_issued_external.pdf

² <http://sci.esa.int/sci-ft/50124-technology-readiness-level/>

Part 2 - Selection Criteria

Proposers should use the table in the pro-forma to make the case for their mission/instrument proposal in terms of the five assessment criteria. These criteria will have equal weighting in the assessment.

Refer to the slides presented at the recent CEOI Future Missions Workshop for further information on the selection criteria. These are available on request from the UK Space Agency EO Team:

uksaeot@ukspaceagency.bis.gsi.gov.uk

The information in this second table must be limited to two pages, font size Arial 10.

Describe the principal user need for the mission and any existing collaboration with the end user
Show how the project aligns with UK EO, national space policy or other UK industrial objectives (e.g. climate science, UK EO Technology Strategy, UK Industrial Strategy)
Describe the principal benefits that will result (e.g. leading science position; job creation; export growth; national prestige; etc)
Describe the principal innovative/ unique aspects of this project (science / technology / products / services)
Why is this mission / instrument achievable, realistic and cost-effective? (e.g. by comparison to previous missions)

Part 3 – Further Information

Following short-listing, proposers may be asked, **potentially at short notice (e.g. 2 weeks)**, to provide further information, including the areas listed below:

- Background information on the proposing organisation and consortium members
- Why public investment would be required to realise the mission
- Risk assessment
- High-level project management plan & key milestones
- Cost breakdown
- The exploitation pathway (e.g. route to market for commercial, or science data exploitation route for scientific)
- Any other relevant information

Please indicate in Part 3 of the attached form, using the tick box provided, if you would be able to provide such information at short notice.